# SEAWATER DESALINATION PLANT Conceptual Design

#### **Abstract**

Australia is known to be one of the driest continent in the world. Droughts are well understood and they are integral part of everyday Australian life. In recent months, it was apparent that drought has returned and it continues to affect New South Wales and other the eastern states as Sydney's dam water levels are falling at a rapid rate since late 2017. To combat ongoing droughts and secure water supply to meet future demands from projected population growth, desalination plant is one of the key solutions to the water scarcity problem. Although it is the expensive solution compared to other solutions such as direct portable reuse, it is one of the most widely accepted solution due to the 'yuck', religion, negative public perception and other factors. This report is aimed to determine an optimal RO system design that can achieve boron level of 0.3 mg/L and key issues affecting the cuttlefish.

> Minh H. Tran June 2019

# Table of Contents

1. Back	ground	2
2. Desc	alination Plant Design Assessment	4
2.1	Seawater Reverse Osmosis Design	4
3.1.1	Seawater Intake	5
3.1.2	Pre-Treatment	5
3.1.3	Reverse Osmosis	5
3.1.4	Drinking Water Treatment	6
2.2	Detailed RO Process Design	6
2.3	Boron Removal	10
3.3.1	Factors Influence Boron Rejection	10
3.3.2	Removal of boron by membrane processes (Güler, E. et al., 2014)	10
3.3.3	Removal of boron by sorption-membrane filtration hybrid processes	11
2.4	mpact of Brine Disposal on Cuttlefish	12
3. Shut	-down Plant of a Desalination Plant	14
3.1	Permeate Flushing	14
3.2	Bacteria Growth Prevention	14
4. Con	clusions and Recommendations	15
5. Refe	rences	16
Figure 1: Sv	Idney Population 2016 Projection (NSW DP&F 2019)	2
Figure 2: N	ledian Rainfalls in Australia (Source: BOM)	2
Figure 3: G	reater-Sydney-water-storage-and-supply-report June 2019 (WaterNSW, 2019)	3
Figure 4: C	onceptual RO Process Flow Diagram	5
Figure 5: Fe	eedwater Data	8
Figure 6: So	caling	8

9

Figure 6: Configuration Figure 7: Boron removal rates at pH 8 (Lenntech, 2019)\_\_\_\_\_11 Figure 8: Giant Cuttlefish Distribution (Wikipedia, 2019) \_\_\_\_\_ 13

#### **Abbreviations**

- APF Average Permeate Flux
- BOM Bureau of Meteorology
- CIP Clean In Place
- DWEER Dual Work Exchange Energy Recovery
- MF Microfiltration
- NF Nanofiltration
- RO **Reverse** Osmosis
- TDS Total Dissolved Solids
- UF Ultrafiltration
- World Health Organization WHO

# 1. BACKGROUND

Population growth, urbanisation, climate change and increasing community expectations on efficiency of services and use of resources are posing major challenges for authorities and water utilities.

A 2016 projection by NSW Department of Planning shows that Sydney population, with an average annual change of 1.5±0.3%, is expected to reach 6.5 million by 2036, Figure 1. With increased population projections and public awareness of the environment, current aging infrastructure, especially water supply infrastructure, will not have the capacity to meet the increased demands.





Apart from Antarctica, Australia is known to be the driest continent in the world. Droughts are well understood and they are integral part of everyday Australian life. They can be intense, unpredictable and often have long lasting effects to communities across the country. The Millennium drought was the worst drought recorded in Australia since the European settlement. The event placed extreme pressure on urban water supply and completely changed the way water resources being treated at every community in the country.

Recent rainfall data from the Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) indicates that severe drought has returned with similar characteristics of Millennium drought patterns. Rainfalls in Sydney and other major cities were heading towards record low, especially in Brisbane, Melbourne and Adelaide, Figure 2.



Figure 2: Median Rainfalls in Australia (Source: BOM)

Sydney Water is the largest water utility in Australia with extensive water and wastewater networks capable of servicing a population of more than 5 million people. Recent water storage and demand report indicates the total available water within the networks is fast approaching the 50% threshold. As drought continues to affect New South Wales and other the eastern states, Sydney's dam water levels are falling at a rapid rate since late 2017 compared to the previous declining rate notably between 2000 and 2004 during Millennium Drought, Figure 3Figure 3. Despite a number of existing water saving initiatives such as recycled water, water harvesting and water efficiency through BASIX, long-term drought is placing significant stress on Sydney's freshwater resources. Recent catastrophic problems in Murray-Darling system led to millions of native fishes killed and farmers are struggling to their feed livestock.



Figure 3: Greater-Sydney-waterstorage-and-supply-report June 2019 (WaterNSW, 2019) The combination of steady population growth and recurrence droughts places significant pressures on authorities and water utilities to find long-term solutions for water security and ensure reliable supply to increased demands.

On of the viable options for addressing water scarcity is direct potable reuse. However, like many other countries around the world, such as United States, Israel and other countries in the Middle East and North Africa, negative public perception of wastewater reuse undermines the implementation of the, otherwise economically and financially viable, direct potable reuse to secure long-term water supply.

The alternative water supply option to the controversial direct potable reuse is desalination in which reverse osmosis (RO) technology is used to extract fresh water from seawater. Although the option is more expensive due to its intensive energy demands in the RO process, it is more widely accepted by the public.

Since the first discovery of RO in the early 1950s and its development at commercial scale in late 1970s, RO technology has become more advanced and widely used in the water industry around the world. Renewable energy has also become more reliable and affordable which helps to reduce the energy demands of desalination plants.

This report examines the design process of a desalination plant, its environmental impacts, including boron and the cuttlefish, and the shut-down procedures.

# 2. DESALINATION PLANT DESIGN ASSESSMENT

# 2.1 Seawater Reverse Osmosis Design

There are several steps of extracting fresh water from seawater in the desalination plant using reverse osmosis membrane technology. Large materials are being filtered through the screening process as the raw seawater is drawn into the plant via a series of underground and undersea pipe networks. Seawater enters the plant through screens that filter out larger material. Smaller particles are then being filtered in the pre-treatment process before being pumped into the reverse osmosis treatment system where all the minerals and viruses are being removed. Following the reverse osmosis treatment, fluoride and minerals are added to the treated water and transferred to the holding facility ready for distribution to the drinking water networks. These steps can be grouped into 4 main stages namely Seawater Intake, Pre-Treatment, RO and Drinking Water Treatment. These stages are described below and illustrated in Figure 4.



Figure 4: Conceptual RO Process Flow Diagram

### 3.1.1. Seawater Intake

The seawater intake components consist of risers, gate valve, drum screens, artificial reefs around the inlet structures and concrete tunnel to transfer the water.

The inflow velocity through the intake risers is regulated and controlled at constant 0.1 m/s rate such that it is not exceeding the surrounding currents to prevent marine life from being drawn into the inlet risers and concrete tunnel. Drum screens are used to filter out materials greater than 3 millimetres (Sydney Desalination Plant, 2019).

#### 3.1.2. Pre-Treatment

The pre-treatment process is generally a filtration process where seawater, with added flocculant, is being filtered through a series of Dual Media filters, cartridges filters and layers of filter coal and sand. Centrifugal & high-pressure pumps are used to pump filtered water to the RO system (Sydney Desalination Plant, 2019).

# 3.1.3. Reverse Osmosis

The RO with automatic Clean in Place (CIP) system is a 2-pass system. At the end of each first pass train, Dual Work Exchanger Energy Recovery (DWEER) devices are installed recover energy in the reject stream. Water pressure is elevated to approximately 5 bar and 60 bar using centrifugal and high-pressure pumps, respectively. The rejection is returned to the ocean via special designed outlet nozzles to neutralise the salinity and temperature of the returned water within 50 to 75 metres of the outlets (Sydney Desalination Plant, 2019).

### 3.1.4. Drinking Water Treatment

Following the RO treatment process, to ensure compliance with Australian Drinking Water Guidelines, fluoride and minerals are added to the treated seawater. Further testing and disinfection are required to ensure public safety prior to distribution to the drinking water networks. Lime waste from the treatment process is thickened, de-watered and distributed to industries such as agriculture for reuse (Sydney Desalination Plant, 2019).

### 2.2 Detailed RO Process Design

#### 3.2.1. Key Design Parameters

Plant Capacity:	500,000 m³/d
Feed Water Quality:	35,000 mg/L TDS
APF:	20L/m <sup>2</sup> /h
Salt Rejection:	>95%
Membrane Area:	37 m²/element
Applied Pressure:	10 bar
Feed Flow Rate (Qf):	500,000 m³/d
Recovery Rate (R):	80%

#### 3.2.2. Detailed Design

Step	Description	Value
1	Feed Water Quality:	SDI<3 ; Table 1
2	Flow Configuration:	Plug Flow, Double Pass System
3	Membrane & Element:	BW30HR-440i, 40.9m <sup>2</sup> Active Area
4	Average Membrane Flux:	22 L/m²/h
5	Number of Elements*:	23,154
6	Number of Pressure Vessels:	23,154÷6 = 3,859
7	Number of Stages:	2
8	Staging Ratio:	2:1

\*Number of Elements = 
$$\frac{Q_{f} \div 24}{AMF \times 10^{-3}} \div Active Area = \frac{500,000 \div 24}{22 \times 10^{-3}} \div 40.9 = 23,154$$

lon	Concentration (mg/L)
Calcium:	410
Magnesium:	1,310
Sodium:	10,900
Potassium:	390
Barium:	0.05
Strontium:	13
Iron:	<0.02
Manganese:	<0.01
Silica:	0.04-8
Chloride:	19,700
Sulfate:	2,740
Fluoride:	1.4
Bromide:	65
Nitrate:	<0.7
Bicarbonate:	152
Boron:	4-5
TDS:	35,000
pH:	8.1

# 3.2.3. ROSA Design

ater Type: Wastewater wit	h Generic mem	brane filtration,	SDI < 3	~	Open Water Profile Library	
ed Percentage: 100.0	(%) Feed 1	Number: 1	- Fee	d Streams: 1 🖨	]	
lons	mg/l	ppm CaCO3	meq/l	Total Conc.(mg/l)	Specify Individual Solutes	
Ammonium (NH4+ + NH3)	0	0.000	0.000	0.00	Total Dissolved Solids: 35649 mg/l	
Potassium (K)	390	498.696	9.974	390.00		
Sodium (Na)	10900	23705.960	474.119	10900.00	Feed Parameters	
Magnesium (Mg)	1310	5388.286	107.766	1310.00	Temperature: 24.0 °C	
Calcium (Ca)	410	1022.954	20.459	410.00	Flow Rate: 500000.0 m <sup>3</sup> /d	
Strontium (Sr)	13	14.837	0.297	13.00		
Barium (Ba)	0.05	0.036	0.001	0.050	рпо.1	
Carbonate (CO3)	23.907	39.839	0.797	23.91		
Bicarbonate (HCO3)	152	124.580	2.492	152.00	Charge Balance	
Nitrate (NO3)	0.7	0.564	0.011	0.70	Add Sodium	
Chioride (CI)	19/00	27/83.260	555.665	19700.00	Cations: 612.62	
Fluoride (F)	1.4	3.685	0.0/4	1.40	Anions: 616.12	
Suitate (SU4)	2/40	2854.167	57.083	2/40.00	Adjust Cations	
Silica (SIU2)	0	n.a.	n.a.	8.00	Balance: -3.51 Adjust Anjons	
Boron (B)	0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwat Syst	vater compositio ver Data 3) Sc em Permeate F	on will affect sc caling Informatio flow: 4349.00	aling calcula on 4) Syste m³/d Sys	tions. Please review em Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50	scaling calculations. Report 6) Cost Analysis 0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87%	Figure 5: Feedwater [
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwat Syst caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added	vater compositir ver Data 3) Sc em Permeate F	on will affect sc caling Informatik Row: 4349.00	aling calcula on 4) Syste m³/d Sys	tions. Please review em Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50	scaling calculations. Report 6) Cost Analysis 0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87% Ion-exchange Leakage Ca Leakage: 0.1 (mg/L)	Figure 5: Feedwater E
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwat Syst caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added O User-adjusted pH O Ion-exchange softening	vater composition err Data 3) Sc err Permeate F	on will affect so caling Informatik Row: 4349.00	aling calcula on 4) Syste m³/d Sys	tions. Please review m Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50	scaling calculations. Report 6) Cost Analysis 0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87% Ion-exchange Leakage Ca Leakage: 0.1 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L)	Figure 5: Feedwater D
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwat Syst caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added O User-adjusted pH Ion-exchange softening tiscalants are required. Cons	er Data 3) So em Permeate F g ult your antisca	on will affect so caling Informatik Row: 4349.00 Row: 4349.00	aling calcula n 4) Syste m³/d Syste	tions. Please review em Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50	scaling calculations.  Report 6) Cost Analysis  0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87%  Ion-exchange Leakage Ca Leakage: 0.1 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L)  vable system recovery.	Figure 5: Feedwater E
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwat Syst caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added O User-adjusted pH Ion-exchange softenin- tiscalants are required. Cons	er Data 3) So em Permeate F g ult your antisca Feed	an will affect so caling Informatii Row: 4349.00 Iant manufactu Adj. Feed	aling calcula m <sup>3</sup> /d Syste m <sup>3</sup> /d Syste rer for dosin	tions. Please review m Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50 g and maximum allow rate	scaling calculations.  Report 6) Cost Analysis  0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87%  Ion-exchange Leakage Ca Leakage: 0.1 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L)  vable system recovery.  Recovery and Temperature	Figure 5: Feedwater D
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwat Syst caling Calculations Options No chemicals added User-adjusted pH Ion-exchange softenin, tiscalants are required. Cons	er Data 3) Si em Permeate F g ult your antisca Feed 8.1	on will affect so caling Informatii Row: 4349.00 Iow: 4340.00 Iow: 434	eling calculation (4) System m <sup>3</sup> /d System rer for dosing Concent :9	tions. Please review m Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50 g and maximum allow rate 6.97	scaling calculations.         Report       6) Cost Analysis         0000.00       System Recovery: 0.87%         Ion-exchange Leakage         Ca Leakage:       0.1 (mg/L)         Mg Leakage:       0 (mg/L)         vable system recovery.         Recovery and Temperature         Recovery:       15000 (mg/L)	Figure 5: Feedwater D
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwat Syst caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added O User-adjusted pH Ion-exchange softenin tiscalants are required. Cons pH LSI	err Data 3) Sr em Permeate F g ult your antisca Feed 8.1 1.172	Iant manufactu Adj. Feed	rer for dosiny Concent :9 22	tions. Please review Im Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50 g and maximum allow rate 6.97 0.206	scaling calculations.         Report       6) Cost Analysis         0000.00       System Recovery: 0.87%         Ion-exchange Leakage       0.1 (mg/L)         Ga Leakage:       0.1 (mg/L)         Mg Leakage:       0 (mg/L)         vable system recovery.         Recovery and Temperature         Recovery:       1000 (%)         Temperature:       0.1 (%)	Figure 5: Feedwater E
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwat Syst caling Calculations Options No chemicals added User-adjusted pH Ion-exchange softenin tiscalants are required. Cons pH LSI Stiff & Davis Index	em Permeate F ed Feed 8.1 1.172 0.183	an will affect sc caling Informati low: 4349.00 lant manufactu Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Co.00 S -0.9	rer for dosiny Concent 92 92	tions. Please review Im Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50 g and maximum allow rate 6.97 0.206 -0.838	scaling calculations.         Report       6) Cost Analysis         0000.00       System Recovery: 0.87%         Ion-exchange Leakage         Ca Leakage:       0.1 (mg/L)         Mg Leakage:       0 (mg/L)         vable system recovery.         Recovery and Temperature         Recovery:       1500 (%)         Temperature:       24.0 °C	Figure 5: Feedwater E
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwat Syst caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added O User-adjusted pH O Ion-exchange softenin tiscalants are required. Cons pH LSI Stiff & Davis Index TDS (mg/l)	em Permeate F em Permeate F ult your antisca Feed 8.1 1.172 0.183 35.649	an will affect sc caling Informati low: 4349.00 lant manufactu Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Contemporation Adj. Feed Contemporation Science Sci	rer for dosiny Concent 02 22 45	an Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50 g and maximum allow rate 6.97 0.206 -0.838 42,053	scaling calculations.  Report 6) Cost Analysis  0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87%  Ion-exchange Leakage Ca Leakage: 0.1 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L)  Vable system recovery.  Recovery and Temperature Recovery: 1500 (%) Temperature: 24.0 °C Use original feed	Figure 5: Feedwater D
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwat Syst caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added O User-adjusted pH O Ion-exchange softenin tiscalants are required. Cons pH LSI Stiff & Davis Index TDS (mg/l) Ionic Strength (molal)	em Permeate F em Permeate F ult your antisca Feed 8.1 1.172 0.183 35,643 0.734	Iant manufactu Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. 7 Adj. 7 Adj	rer for dosiny Concent 02 23 245 36	g and maximum allow rate 6.97 0.206 -0.838 42,053 0.871	scaling calculations.  Report 6) Cost Analysis  0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87%  Ion-exchange Leakage Ca Leakage: 0.1 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L)  Wable system recovery.  Recovery and Temperature Recovery: 1500 (%) Temperature: 24.0 °C Use original feed Use adjusted feed	Figure 5: Feedwater D
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwat Syst caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added O User-adjusted pH O Ion-exchange softenin tiscalants are required. Cons pH LSI Stiff & Davis Index TDS (mg/l) Ionic Strength (molal) HCO3 (mg/l)	em Permeate F em Permeate F ult your antisca Feed 8.1 1.172 0.183 35,643 0.734 152,000	Iant manufactu Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed -0.01 -0.91	rer for dosing Concent 9 22 36 45	g and maximum allow rrate 6.97 0.206 -0.838 42.053 0.871 189.582	scaling calculations.  Report 6) Cost Analysis  0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87%  Ion-exchange Leakage Ca Leakage: 0.1 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L)  Wable system recovery.  Recovery and Temperature Recovery: 1500 (%) Temperature: 24.0 °C Use original feed © Use adjusted feed	Figure 5: Feedwater D
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwat Syst Caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added O lon-exchange softening tiscalants are required. Cons pH LSI Stiff & Davis Index TDS (mg/l) Ionic Strength (molal) HCO3 (mg/l) CO2 (mg/l)	vater composition ter Data 3) So em Permeate F ult your antisca Feed 8.1 1.172 0.183 35.643 0.734 152.000 0.617	Iant manufactu Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed -0.01 -0.91	rer for dosin Concent S Concent Con	tions. Please review em Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50 g and maximum allow rate 6.97 0.206 -0.838 42.053 0.871 189.582 10.366	scaling calculations.  Report 6) Cost Analysis  0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87%  Ion-exchange Leakage Ca Leakage: 0.1 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L)  Wg Leakage: 0 (mg/L)  Recovery and Temperature Recovery.  Recovery: 1500 (%) Temperature: 24.0 °C Use original feed Use adjusted feed Use adjusted feed	Figure 5: Feedwater D
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwat Syst Caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added O lon-exchange softenine tiscalants are required. Cons pH LSI Stiff & Davis Index TDS (mg/l) Ionic Strength (molal) HCO3 (mg/l) CO2 (mg/l) CO3 (mg/l)	vater compositie ter Data 3) Se em Permeate F g ut your antisca Feed 8.1 1.172 0.183 35.643 0.734 152.000 0.617 23.900	Iant manufactu Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed -0.01 -0.91	rer for dosin Concent Concen	tions. Please review em Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50 g and maximum allow rate 6.97 0.206 -0.838 42,053 0.871 189,582 10,366 1.886	scaling calculations.  Report 6) Cost Analysis  0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87%  Ion-exchange Leakage Ca Leakage: 0.1 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L)  wable system recovery.  Recovery and Temperature Recovery: 1500 (%) Temperature: 24.0 °C Use original feed  Use adjusted feed	Figure 5: Feedwater D
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwal Syst caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added O User-adjusted pH O Ion-exchange softenine tiscalants are required. Cons pH LSI Stiff & Davis Index TDS (mg/l) Ionic Strength (molal) HCO3 (mg/l) CO2 (mg/l) CO3 (mg/l) CaSO4 (% Saturation)	vater compositie ter Data 3) Se em Permeate F ult your antisca Feed 8.1 1.172 0.183 35.644 0.734 152.000 0.617 23.907 19.74	an will affect sc           caling Informatii           Row:         4349.00           Row:         4349.00           Iant manufactu           Adj. Feed           I         6           2         -0.09           3         -0.99           3         161.1+           7         161.3-           7         1.61           4         19.3	rer for dosin, m <sup>3</sup> /d System m <sup>3</sup> /d System Concent Concent System Concent Co	tions. Please review an Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50 g and maximum allow rate 6.97 0.206 -0.838 42.053 0.871 189.582 10.366 1.886 24.12	scaling calculations.         Report       6) Cost Analysis         0000.00       System Recovery: 0.87%         Ion-exchange Leakage       0.1 (mg/L)         Mg Leakage:       0 (mg/L)         Mg Leakage:       0 (mg/L)         vable system recovery.         Recovery and Temperature         Recovery:       1509 (%)         Temperature:       24.0 °C         Use original feed         Iouse adjusted feed	Figure 5: Feedwater D
e: Any changes in raw feedw ect Information 2) Feedwal Syst caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added O User-adjusted pH O Ion-exchange softenine tiscalants are required. Cons pH LSI Stiff & Davis Index TDS (mg/l) Ionic Strength (molal) HCO3 (mg/l) CO2 (mg/l) CO3 (mg/l) CO3 (mg/l) CaSO4 (% Saturation) BaSO4 (% Saturation)	vater compositie ter Data 3) Se em Permeate F g ult your antisca Feed 8.1 1.172 0.183 35.643 0.734 152.000 0.617 23.907 19.677 166.77	Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Comparison Adj. Feed Comparison Adj. Feed Comparison Compori Comparison Compori Compo	Concent           02           036           045           056           051           052	tions. Please review an Configuration 5) stem Feed Row: 50 g and maximum allow rate 6.97 0.206 -0.838 42,053 0.871 189.582 10.366 1.886 24.12 202.87	scaling calculations.  Report 6) Cost Analysis  0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87%  Ion-exchange Leakage Ca Leakage: 0.1 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L)  rable system recovery.  Recovery and Temperature Recovery: 1500 (%) Temperature: 24.0 °C Use original feed © Use adjusted feed  User-adjusted pH Dosing Chemical: H2SO4 ✓	Figure 5: Feedwater D
e: Any changes in raw feedw syst caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added O lon-exchange softenine tiscalants are required. Cons pH LSI Stiff & Davis Index TDS (mg/l) Ionic Strength (molal) HCO3 (mg/l) CO2 (mg/l) CO3 (mg/l) CO3 (mg/l) CaSO4 (% Saturation) BaSO4 (% Saturation)	vater compositie ter Data 3) Se em Permeate F g ult your antisca Feed 8.1 1.172 0.183 35.643 0.734 152.000 0.617 23.907 19.74 166.77 24.53	Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Comparison Adj. Feed Comparison Adj. Feed Comparison Adj. Feed Comparison Adj. Feed Comparison Adj. Feed Comparison Comporison Comporison Comparison Comparison Comparison Com	rer for dosin; m³/d System m³/d System Concent Solution Concent Solution Concent Solution Concent Solution Concent Solution Concent Solution System Concent Solution Solution System Concent Solution Solution System Concent Solution Solution System Concent Solution So	tions. Please review an Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50 g and maximum allow rate 6.97 0.206 -0.838 42,053 0.871 189.582 10.366 1.886 24.12 202.87 30.52	scaling calculations.  Report 6) Cost Analysis  0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87%  Ion-exchange Leakage Ca Leakage: 0.1 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L)  rable system recovery.  Recovery and Temperature Recovery: 1509 (%) Temperature: 24.0 °C Use original feed © Use adjusted feed  User-adjusted pH Dosing Chemical: H2SO4 ✓	Figure 5: Feedwater D
e: Any changes in raw feedw syst caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added O User-adjusted pH O Ion-exchange softenine tiscalants are required. Cons pH LSI Stiff & Davis Index TDS (mg/l) Ionic Strength (molal) HCO3 (mg/l) CO2 (mg/l) CO3	vater compositie ter Data 3) Se vem Permeate F vem Permeate	Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Adj. Feed Comparison Adj. Feed Comparison Adj. Feed Comparison Compori Comparison Comparison Co	rer for dosiny m <sup>3</sup> /d System m <sup>3</sup> /d System Concent Solution Concent Solution Concent Solution Concent Solution Concent Solution Concent Solution System System Concent Solution System Sys	tions. Please review an Configuration 5) stem Feed Row: 50 g and maximum allow rate 6.97 0.206 -0.838 42,053 0.871 189.582 10.366 1.886 24.12 202.87 30.52 173.95	scaling calculations.  Report 6) Cost Analysis  0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87%  Ion-exchange Leakage Ca Leakage: 0.1 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L)  rable system recovery.  Recovery and Temperature Recovery: 1500 (%) Temperature: 24.0 °C Use original feed © Use adjusted feed  User-adjusted pH Dosing Chemical: H2SO4 ✓ pH: 6.9 GO	Figure 5: Feedwater L
e: Any changes in raw feedw syst caling Calculations Options O No chemicals added O User-adjusted pH O Ion-exchange softenine tiscalants are required. Cons pH LSI Stiff & Davis Index TDS (mg/l) Ionic Strength (molal) HCO3 (mg/l) CO2 (mg/l) CO3	vater compositie ter Data 3) Se tem Permeate F g ult your antisca Feed 8.1 1.172 0.183 35.643 0.734 152.000 0.617 23.907 19.74 166.77 24.55 106.83 5.60	Adj.         Feed           Idant         manufactu           Adj.         Feed           Adj.         Feed           2         -0.00           3         -0.99           9         35.77           1         161.14           7         10.33           7         1.61           4         19.3           7         1.68           3         24.3           3         106.6           0         6.7	Concent           .9           Concent           .9 <t< td=""><td>tions. Please review an Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50 g and maximum allow rate 6.97 0.206 -0.838 42,053 0.871 189.582 10.366 1.886 24.12 202.87 30.52 173.95 7.62</td><td>scaling calculations.  Report 6) Cost Analysis  0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87%  Ion-exchange Leakage Ca Leakage: 0.1 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L)  rable system recovery.  Recovery and Temperature Recovery: 1500 (%) Temperature: 24.0 °C Use original feed Use adjusted feed  User-adjusted pH Dosing Chemical: H2SO4 ✓ pH: 6.9 G0 Concentrate S&amp;DSI: -0.838 G0</td><td>Figure 5: Feedwater I</td></t<>	tions. Please review an Configuration 5) stem Feed Flow: 50 g and maximum allow rate 6.97 0.206 -0.838 42,053 0.871 189.582 10.366 1.886 24.12 202.87 30.52 173.95 7.62	scaling calculations.  Report 6) Cost Analysis  0000.00 System Recovery: 0.87%  Ion-exchange Leakage Ca Leakage: 0.1 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L) Mg Leakage: 0 (mg/L)  rable system recovery.  Recovery and Temperature Recovery: 1500 (%) Temperature: 24.0 °C Use original feed Use adjusted feed  User-adjusted pH Dosing Chemical: H2SO4 ✓ pH: 6.9 G0 Concentrate S&DSI: -0.838 G0	Figure 5: Feedwater I



Figure 7: Configuration

# 2.3 Boron Removal

Naturally occurring chemical element, boron is also known as a "Metalloid" element. It is a combination of oxygen and borates which are widely found in oceans, sedimentary rocks, shale, some soils and coal (GreenFacts, 2019).

In laboratory animals, the male reproductive system is a consistent target of toxicity with signs of testicular lesions when being orally exposed to boric acid or borax in food or drinking water. In human, daily intake of Boron greater than 5 mg can cause symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea and, in some cases, blood clotting. The lethal doses of boric acid in human have been reported to be 29 mg/kg, 640 mg/kg and 8600 mg/kg of body weight when subject to intravenous injection, oral and dermal intakes respectively (WHO, 2009).

The average concentration Boron in the oceans is 4.5 mg/l. For drinking water, the World Health Organization recommends a Boron concentration below 0.5 mg/L (WHO, 2009). In desalination process, boron removal has been widely studied around the world. Generally, Boron can be removed by ion exchange, through RO membranes or sorption-membrane filtration hybrid processes. Ion exchange is a method commonly used in diluted water streams and seawater streams with low salt concentrations (Güler, E. et al., 2014). Considering the high concentration of Boron in seawater, this section of the report examines boron removal only in RO membranes and sorption-membrane filtration hybrid processes.

# 3.3.1. Factors Influence Boron Rejection

Busch, M. et al., 2003, determined that feed water, membrane element and systemdesign are the thee main aspects of RO in which Boron rejection is being influenced. The possible factors in each of these three aspects include (Busch, M. et al., 2003):

- pH, water temperature & TDS
- Chemistry of membranes & efficiency of elements
- APF, concentration polarisation system recovery & cleanings

# 3.3.2. Removal of boron by membrane processes (Güler, E. et al., 2014)

Despite the above factors influencing boron rejection, the main factors are pH and water temperature. The typical standard High Rejection Seawater Reverse Osmosis membranes can achieve between 73% and 90% removal rates at pH 8, depending on the water temperature, Figure 6. A removal rate of 95% or higher can also be achieved using Special High Boron Removal membrane (Lenntech, 2019).



Güler, E. et al., (2014) indicated that up to up to 84.6% and 87.7% of boron rejection rates can be achieved by using conventional SWRO membranes and high rejection membranes, such as FILMTEC XUS SW30-XHR-2540, respectively. Under a two-pass configuration and without pH adjustment, a 96% boron rejection rate can be achieved using new generation RO membranes (Güler, E. et al., 2014).

There are two options for a two-pass RO system, a full second pass and partial second pass. As the name suggests, in a full second pass design option, 100% of permeate is treated by second pass RO. In this design option, the typical first pass to second pass recovery ratio is 50%:90% and a total 47% with second pass brine recycle. In partial second pass design option, only a portion of the first pass permeate is treated by the second pass recovery ratio is similar to that of the full second pass design option except for a total recovery of 48.5% with second pass trains, higher total system recovery and lower capital and maintenance costs due to smaller footprints, less number of pressure vessels and membranes and less high pressure piping and fittings (Rybar, S. et al., 2010).

# 3.3.3. Removal of boron by sorption-membrane filtration hybrid processes

Sorption-membrane filtration hybrid processes are the combined ion exchange and membrane filtration process in which first boron elements are adsorbed by ion exchangers and then membrane filtration, either UF or MF, is used to separate the boron loaded resins from water. Under this system, the main advantage, compared with conventional fixed bed ion exchange processes, is the fast adsorption kinetics of the ion exchange resins, therefore ion exchange efficiency, with small particle size without any significant pressure drop which could translate to lower operational costs (Güler, E. et al., 2014). However, these processes are typically used in high purity applications where boron and other weak acids will be removed to a level of <0.1 mg/L, far below the standard 0.3 mg/L limit for drinking water. Alternatively, these processes are better suit existing treatment plants that were designed without any boron removal process to achieve new boron stringent limits (Busch, M. et al., 2003).

# 2.4 Impact of Brine Disposal on Cuttlefish

Australian waters are the home of many unique marine species including the Giant Cuttlefish, Sepia apama, which is the world largest cuttlefish species. They can be found from Moreton Bay on the east-coast and on the west-coast up to Ningaloo Reef, Figure 8, (Australian Museum, 2019). From economic perspectives, cuttlefishes are financial opportunities for fisheries, food and pet businesses as well as recreational and ecotourism businesses such as scuba diving and snorkelling.

Cuttlefishes have a relatively short lifespan between two and four years and they are subject to seasonal change in water temperature and conditions. Changes in water conditions and temperature as a direct and indirect result of local industrialisation can cause significant ecological impacts to many marine species including the cuttlefish. Industries such as steelworks, fish farming and seawater desalination are known to be the main source of nutrient pollution in the water.

In relation to seawater desalination, the main problem is the by-product from the treatment processes, which is a high salt concentrated effluent known as brine, being discharged into the ocean. Brine is generally known as water with salt concentration greater than 50 parts per thousand. The optimal salinity level for development of cuttlefish embryos is 28 to 38 parts per thousand (Beeton, 2011). Discharges from desalination plants also affect the dissolved oxygen levels in the water due to the heat treatment causing water temperature to increase. Brine can also contain high concentrations of heavy metals such as lead, copper, zinc and manganese which are toxic to the environment (Dupavillon, J. et al., 2009).



Photo 1: Giant Cuttlefish at Kurnell NSW



Figure 9: Giant Cuttlefish Distribution (Wikipedia, 2019)

Increases in salinity and water temperature lead to detrimental effects on the embryonic development of the cuttlefish. A study of estuarine crab shown a direct correlation of increased salinity, smaller embryos and egg sizes. The species developed from smaller eggs will have greater physical constraints limiting their ability in swimming and food capturing which reduce their overall chance of survival (Dupavillon, J. et al., 2009).

South of Port Augusta in South Australia, Sundrop Farms expanded their vegetable growing business by constructing a new seawater desalination plant 2016 which has a potential brine concentration of 60 parts per thousand putting the local Upper Spencer Gulf cuttlefish population at risk (Monceaux, 2015). This level of brine concentration will affect the cuttlefish's cephalopod growth rates and dehydration of their eggs.

# 3. SHUT-DOWN PLANT OF A DESALINATION PLANT

In Sydney, the desalination plant was designed as a backup water supply during drought period. When dam levels rise and conventional water supply systems have exceeded their minimum optimal capacity, i.e. 60% or higher, the desalination plant needs to be shutdown. Proper shutdown procedures must be correctly followed to ensure the elements won't be dried out and the system has adequate protection against bacteria growth. In general, the two main aspects of shutting down a desalination plant are permeate flushing and bacteria growth prevention.

# 3.1 Permeate Flushing

When shutting down the membrane systems, the salt concentration in the feed water is likely to increase to a level exceeding its solubility. Flushing is, therefore, crucial to remove highly concentrated salt from the vessels using permeate water at low pressure about 3 bar for approximately 15 to 20 minutes. This should be sufficient time for the balance between conductivity out and conductivity in (ROChemicals, 2018).

To prevent scale inhibitors, the water used for flushing the vessels should not contain any chemicals. The feed valves are to be completely closed. To prevent the backpressure from damaging the membrane, a static permeate backpressure must be limited to 0.3 bar at all time. In the permeate line, check valves can be used to safeguard the membrane (Applied Membranes, 2007).

# 3.2 Bacteria Growth Prevention

Biological growth in the RO system must be carefully controlled by using suitable chemical disinfectant or non-oxidising, non-ionic biocides kill bacteria, control biofouling and prevent re-growth. Application rates and frequency of disinfectant/biocides application will be depended on the biological loading and biofilm growth rate (ROChemicals, 2018).

# 4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Australia is known to be one of the driest continent in the world. Droughts are well understood and they are integral part of everyday Australian life. In recent months, it was apparent that drought has returned and it continues to affect New South Wales and other the eastern states as Sydney's dam water levels are falling at a rapid rate since late 2017.

To combat ongoing droughts and secure water supply to meet future demands from projected population growth, desalination plant is one of the key solutions to the water scarcity problem. Although it is the expensive solution compared to other solutions such as direct portable reuse, it is one of the most widely accepted solution due to the 'yuck', religion, negative public perception and other factors.

To ensure successful implementation of the desalination plant, proper studies to be carried out to determine potential short and long-term environmental impacts of such project. Endemic native marine species such as cuttlefishes are sensitive to change in water temperature and conditions. Concentrated brine can affect the embryonic development of the cuttlefish. Brine should be diluted to reduce concentration to around 28 to 38 parts per thousand within the cuttlefish habitats.

A two-pass RO system is to be designed such that boron level is 0.3 mg/L suitable for drinking as recommended by the WHO.

#### 5. REFERENCES

Applied Membranes, 2007. System Operation: RO & NF System Shutdown. [Online] Available at: http://www.watertreatmentguide.com/system\_shut-down.htm [Accessed 15 June 2019].

Australian Museum, 2019. Giant Cuttlefish - Sepia apama Gray, 1849. [Online] Available at: https://australianmuseum.net.au/learn/animals/fishes/giant-cuttlefish-sepia-apama-aray-1849/

[Accessed 11 June 2019].

Beeton, R., 2011. Advice to the Minister for Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities from the Threatened Species Scientific Committee (the Committee), s.l.: Threatened Species Scientific Committee.

Busch, M. et al., 2003. Boron Removal in Sea Water Desalination. Bahrain, IDA World Congress.

Dupavillon, J. et al., 2009. Impacts of seawater desalination on the giant Australian cuttlefish Sepia apama in the upper Spencer Gulf, South Australia. Marine Environmental Research, Issue 67, pp. 207-218.

GreenFacts, 2019. Boron. [Online]

Available at: https://www.greenfacts.org/en/boron/boron-1.htm#1

[Accessed 12 June 2019].

Güler, E. et al., 2014. Boron removal from seawater: State-of-the-art review. Desalination, Issue 356, pp. 85-93.

Lenntech, 2019. Desalination Post-treatment: Boron Removal Process. [Online]

Available at: https://www.lenntech.com/processes/desalination/post-treatment/post-treatments/boronremoval.htm

[Accessed 10 June 2019].

Monceaux, D., 2015. Sundrop Farms risks cuttlefish with desal brine. [Online]

Available at: http://cuttlefishcountry.com/2015/06/20/sundrop-farms-risks-cuttlefish-with-desal-brine/ [Accessed 8 June 2019].

ROChemicals, 2018. Reverse Osmosis Membrane Biocides & Disinfectants. [Online]

Available at: http://reverseosmosischemicals.com/reverse-osmosis-chemicals/ro-membrane-biocidesdisinfectants

[Accessed 15 June 2019].

ROChemicals, 2018. Reverse Osmosis Plant Shut-Down Procedures. [Online]

Available at: <u>http://reverseosmosischemicals.com/reverse-osmosis-guides/reverse-osmosis-plant-shut-</u> down-procedures

[Accessed 15 June 2019].

Rybar, S. et al., 2010. Split partial second pass design for SWRO plants, s.l.: Desalination Publications.

Sydney Desalination Plant, 2019. Sydney's Desalination Process. [Online]

Available at: https://www.sydneydesal.com.au/how-we-do-it/process/technical-details/ [Accessed 5 June 2019].

WHO, 2009. Boron in drinking-water, Geneva: World Health Organization.

Whyalla News, 2015. Cuttlefish threatened by desalination plant. [Online]

Available at: https://www.whyallanewsonline.com.au/story/3194124/cuttlefish-threatened-by-desalinationplant/

[Accessed 7 June 2019].

Wikipedia, 2019. Sepia apama. [Online]

Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sepia apama

[Accessed 11 June 2019].